

SOLUTION TO PROBLEM #12279

Problem #12279. Proposed by B. Isaacson (USA). Let $S(n, k)$ denote the number of partitions of a set with n elements into k nonempty blocks. (These are the *Stirling numbers of the second kind*.) Let j and n be positive integers of opposite parity with $j < n$. Prove

$$\sum_{k=j}^n \frac{(-1)^k (k-1)! \binom{k}{j} S(n, k)}{2^k} = 0.$$

Solution by Tewodros Amdeberhan and Victor H Moll, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA. The convention $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ if $a < b$ and multiplying by $(-2)^n$ (turning the sum into an integer), denote

$$f(n, j) := \sum_{k=0}^n (-2)^{n-k} (k-1)! \binom{k}{j} S(n, k).$$

We wish to show $f(n, j) = (j-1)f(n-1, j-1) - (j+1)f(n-1, j+1)$. Using the familiar recurrence $S(n, k) = kS(n-1, k) + S(n-1, k-1)$, this amounts to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k! \binom{k}{j} S(n-1, k)}{(-2)^k} + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(k-1)! \binom{k}{j} S(n-1, k-1)}{(-2)^k} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(k-1)! \binom{k-2}{j-2} S(n-1, k-1)}{(-2)^k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k! \binom{k-1}{j} S(n-1, k)}{(-2)^k + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to reindex each summand to reflect a term $S(n-1, k-1)$ and collect every sum:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(k-1)! S(n-1, k-1)}{(-2)^k} \left[(-2) \binom{k-1}{j} + \binom{k-2}{j} - \binom{k-2}{j-2} + \binom{k}{j} \right] = 0.$$

However, the entity inside the square brackets resolves to 0, so the assertion holds true immediately. Now, consider $T_n := (-1)^{n-1} \frac{d^{n-1} \tanh x}{dx^{n-1}}$. The special case $T_2 = -\frac{d \tanh x}{dx} = -\operatorname{sech}^2 x = -1 + \tanh^2 x$ shows that $T_n = \sum_j b(n, j) \tanh^j x$ so that $b(n, j)$ comes from that of T_{n-1} due to the derivatives of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d [b(n-1, j-1) \tanh^{j-1} x]}{dx} &= (j-1)b(n-1, j-1)(-1 + \tanh x) \tanh^{j-2} x, \\ \frac{d [b(n-1, j+1) \tanh^{j+1} x]}{dx} &= (j+1)b(n-1, j+1)(-1 + \tanh x) \tanh^j x \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the recurrence $b(n, j) = (j-1)b(n-1, j-1) - (j+1)b(n-1, j+1)$, which agrees with that of $f(n, j)$. The boundary conditions can be easily checked to agree, too. Furthermore, there is the apparent $b(n, j) = 0$ if n and j are of opposite parity which is exactly the required assertion. \square