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# The integrals in Gradshteyn and Ryzhik. <br> Part 4: The gamma function 

Victor H. Moll


#### Abstract

We present a systematic derivation of some definite integrals in the classical table of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik that can be reduced to the gamma function.


## 1. Introduction

The table of integrals [2] contains some evaluations that can be derived by elementary means from the gamma function, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-x} d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of the integral in (1.1) requires $a>0$. The goal of this paper is to present some of these evaluations in a systematic manner. The techniques developed here will be employed in future publications. The reader will find in [1] analytic information about this important function.

The gamma function represents the extension of factorials to real parameters. The value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(n)=(n-1)!, \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is elementary. On the other hand, the special value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to the well-known normal integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-t^{2}\right) d t=\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The reader will find in [1] proofs of Legendre's duplication formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{\Gamma(2 x) \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(x) 2^{2 x-1}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that produces for $x=m \in \mathbb{N}$ the values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2 m}} \frac{(2 m)!}{m!} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This appears as $\mathbf{3 . 3 7 1}$ in [2].

## 2. The introduction of a parameter

The presence of a parameter in a definite integral provides great amount of flexibility. The change of variables $x=\mu t$ in (1.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a)=\mu^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{a-1} e^{-\mu t} d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This appears as $\mathbf{3 . 3 8 1 . 4}$ in $[\mathbf{2}]$ and the choice $a=n+1$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n} e^{-\mu t} d t=n!\mu^{-n-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

appears as 3.351.3.
The special case $a=m+\frac{1}{2}$, that appears as 3.371 in [2], yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\mu t} d t=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2 m}} \frac{(2 m)!}{m!} \mu^{-m-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is consistent with (1.6).
The combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\nu x}-e^{-\mu x}}{x^{\rho+1}} d x=\frac{\mu^{\rho}-\nu^{\rho}}{\rho} \Gamma(1-\rho) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that appears as $\mathbf{3 . 4 3 4 . 1}$ in [2] can now be evaluated directly. The parameters are restricted by convergence: $\mu, \nu>0$ and $\rho<1$. The integral $\mathbf{3 . 4 3 4 . 2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu x}-e^{-\nu x}}{x} d x=\ln \frac{\nu}{\mu} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained from (2.4) by passing to the limit as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. This is an example of Frullani integrals that will be discussed in a future publication.

The reader will be able to check $\mathbf{3 . 4 7 8 . 1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu-1} \exp \left(-\mu x^{p}\right) d x=\frac{1}{p} \mu^{-\nu / p} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 3.478.2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\nu-1}\left[1-\exp \left(-\mu x^{p}\right)\right] d x=-\frac{1}{|p|} \mu^{-\nu / p} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{p}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by introducing appropriate parameter reduction.
The parameters can be used to prove many of the classical identities for $\Gamma(a)$.
Proposition 2.1. The gamma function satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a+1)=a \Gamma(a) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiate (2.1) with respect to $\mu$ to produce

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=a \mu^{a-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{a-1} e^{-\mu t} d t-\mu^{a} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{a} e^{-\mu t} d t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now put $\mu=1$ to obtain the result.
Differentiating (1.1) with respect to the parameter $a$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime}(a)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-x} \ln x d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further differentiation introduces higher powers of $\ln x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{(n)}(a)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-x}(\ln x)^{n} d x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $a=1$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}(\ln x)^{n} e^{-x} d x=\Gamma^{(n)}(1) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case $n=1$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} \ln x d x=\Gamma^{\prime}(1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader will find in [1], page 176 an elementary proof that $\Gamma^{\prime}(1)=-\gamma$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}-\ln n \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is Euler's constant. This is one of the fundamental numbers of Analysis.
On the other hand, differentiating (2.1) produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-\mu x}(\ln x)^{n} d x=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\right)^{n}\left[\mu^{-a} \Gamma(a)\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that appears as 4.358 .5 in [2]. Using Leibnitz's differentiation formula we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-\mu x}(\ln x)^{n} d x=\mu^{-a} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k}(\ln \mu)^{k} \Gamma^{(n-k)}(a) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case $a=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\mu x}(\ln x)^{n} d x=\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k}(\ln \mu)^{k} \Gamma^{(n-k)}(1) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cases $n=1,2,3$ appear as 4.331.1, 4.335.1 and 4.335.3 respectively.
In order to obtain analytic expressions for the terms $\Gamma^{(n)}(1)$, it is convenient to introduce the polygamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \ln \Gamma(x) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivatives of $\psi$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n)}(x)=(-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta(n+1, x) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z, q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+q)^{z}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Hurwitz zeta function. In particular this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(n)}(1)=(-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta(n+1) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\Gamma^{(n)}(1)$ can now be computed by recurrence via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{(n+1)}(1)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \Gamma^{(k)}(1) \psi^{(n-k)}(1) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by differentiating $\Gamma^{\prime}(x)=\psi(x) \Gamma(x)$.
Using (2.19) the reader will be able to check the first few cases of (2.15), we employ the notation $\delta=\psi(a)-\ln \mu$ :
$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-\mu x} \ln ^{2} x d x=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\mu^{a}}\left\{\delta^{2}+\zeta(2, a)\right\}$,
$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-\mu x} \ln ^{3} x d x=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\mu^{a}}\left\{\delta^{3}+3 \zeta(2, a) \delta-2 \zeta(3, a)\right\}$,
$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-\mu x} \ln ^{4} x d x=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\mu^{a}}\left\{\delta^{4}+6 \zeta(2, a) \delta^{2}-8 \zeta(3, a) \delta+3 \zeta^{2}(2, a)+6 \zeta(4, a)\right\}$.
These appear as $4.358 .2,4.358 .3$ and 4.358.4, respectively.

## 3. Elementary changes of variables

The use of appropriate changes of variables yields, from the basic definition (1.1), the evaluation of more complicated definite integrals. For example, let $x=t^{b}$ to obtain, with $c=a b-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{c} \exp \left(-t^{b}\right) d t=\frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{c+1}{b}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case $a=1 / b$, that is $c=0$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-t^{b}\right) d t=\frac{1}{b} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that appears as $\mathbf{3 . 3 2 6 . 1}$ in [2]. The special case $b=2$ is the normal integral (1.4).
We can now introduce an extra parameter via $t=s^{1 / b} x$. This produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m} \exp \left(-s x^{b}\right) d x=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{s^{a} b} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m=a b-1$. This formula appears (at least) three times in [2]: 3.326.2, 3.462.9 and 3.478.1. Moreover, the case $s=1, c=(m+1 / 2) n-1$ and $b=n$ appears as 3.473:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-x^{n}\right) x^{\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right) n-1} d x=\frac{(2 m-1)!!}{2^{m} n} \sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form given here can be established using (1.6).
Differentiating (3.3) with respect to the parameter $m$ (keeping in mind that $a=$ $(m+1) / b)$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m} e^{-s x^{b}} \ln x d x=\frac{\Gamma(a)}{b^{2} s^{a}}[\psi(a)-\ln s] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $b=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{m} e^{-s x} \ln x d x=\frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{s^{m+1}}[\psi(m+1)-\ln s] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $m=0$ and $b=2$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s x^{2}} \ln x d x=-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4 \sqrt{s}}(\gamma+\ln 4 s) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $\psi(1 / 2)=-\gamma-2 \ln 2$. This appears as 4.333 in [2].
An interesting example is $b=m=2$. Using the values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi} / 2 \text { and } \psi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=2-2 \ln 2-\gamma \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the expression (3.5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2} e^{-s x^{2}} \ln x d x=\frac{1}{8 s}(2-\ln 4 s-\gamma) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\psi$ at half-integers follow directly from (1.5). Formula (3.9) appears as 4.355.1 in [2]. Using (3.5) it is easy to verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mu x^{2}-n\right) x^{2 n-1} e^{-\mu x^{2}} \ln x d x=\frac{(n-1)!}{4 \mu^{n}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(2 \mu x^{2}-2 n-1\right) x^{2 n} e^{-\mu x^{2}} \ln x d x=\frac{(2 n-1)!!}{2(2 \mu)^{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\mu}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These appear as, respectively, 4.355.3 and 4.355.4 in [2]. The term $(2 n-1)$ !! is the semi-factorial defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n-1)!!=(2 n-1)(2 n-3) \cdots 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, formula 4.369 .1 in [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-\mu x}[\psi(a)-\ln x] d x=\frac{\Gamma(a) \ln \mu}{\mu^{a}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be established by the methods developed here. The more ambitious reader will check that
$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n-1} e^{-\mu x}\left\{\left[\ln x-\frac{1}{2} \psi(n)\right]^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime}(n)\right\} d x=\frac{(n-1)!}{\mu^{n}}\left\{\left[\ln \mu-\frac{1}{2} \psi(n)\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\prime}(n)\right\}$, that is 4.369 .2 in [2].

We can also write (3.5) in the exponential scale to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t e^{m t} \exp \left(-s e^{b t}\right) d t=\frac{\Gamma(m / b)}{b^{2} s^{m / b}}\left(\psi\left(\frac{m}{b}\right)-\ln s\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special case $b=m=1$ produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t e^{t} \exp \left(-s e^{t}\right) d t=-\frac{(\gamma+\ln s)}{s} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that appears as $\mathbf{3 . 4 8 1 . 1}$. The second special case, appearing as $\mathbf{3 . 4 8 1 . 2}$, is $b=2, m=$ 1 , that yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t e^{t} \exp \left(-s e^{2 t}\right) d t=-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}(\gamma+\ln 4 s)}{4 \sqrt{s}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This uses the value $\psi(1 / 2)=-(\gamma+2 \ln 2)$.

There are many other possible changes of variables that lead to interesting evaluations. We conclude this section with one more: let $x=e^{t}$ to convert (1.1) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-e^{x}\right) e^{a x} d x=\Gamma(a) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is $\mathbf{3 . 3 2 8}$ in [2].
As usual one should not prejudge the difficulty of a problem: the example $\mathbf{3 . 4 7 1 . 3}$ states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} x^{-\mu-1}(a-x)^{\mu-1} e^{-\beta / x} d x=\beta^{-\mu} a^{\mu-1} \Gamma(\mu) \exp \left(-\frac{\beta}{a}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be reduced to the basic formula for the gamma function. Indeed, the change of variables $t=\beta / x$ produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\beta^{-\mu} a^{\mu-1} \int_{\beta / a}^{\infty}(t-\beta / a)^{\mu-1} e^{-t} d t \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $y=t-\beta / a$ to complete the evaluation. The table [2] writes $\mu$ instead of $a$ : it seems to be a bad idea to have $\mu$ and $u$ in the same formula, it leads to typographical errors that should be avoided.

Another simple change of variables gives the evaluation of 3.324.2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-b / x)^{2 n}} d x=\frac{1}{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2 n}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetry yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(x-b / x)^{2 n}} d x \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change of variables $t=b / x$ yields, using $b>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2 b \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(t-b / t)^{2 n}} \frac{d t}{t^{2}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average of these forms produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(x-b / x)^{2 n}}\left(1+\frac{b}{x^{2}}\right) d x \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the change of variables $u=x-b / x$ gives the result. Indeed, let $u=x-b / x$ and observe that $u$ is increasing when $b>0$. This restriction is missing in the table. Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u^{2 n}} d u \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can now be evaluated via $v=u^{2 n}$.
Note. In the case $b<0$ the change of variables $u=x-b / x$ has an inverse with two branches, splitting at $x=\sqrt{-b}$. Then we write

$$
\begin{align*}
I & :=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(x-b / x)^{2 n}} d x  \tag{3.25}\\
& =2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{-b}} e^{-(x-b / x)^{2 n}} d x+2 \int_{\sqrt{-b}}^{\infty} e^{-(x-b / x)^{2 n}} d x
\end{align*}
$$

The change of variables $u=x-b / x$ is now used in each of the integrals to produce

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2 \int_{2 \sqrt{-b}}^{\infty} \frac{u \exp \left(-u^{2 n}\right) d u}{\sqrt{u^{2}+4 b}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change of variables $z=\sqrt{u^{2}+4 b}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\left(z^{2}-4 b\right)^{n}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are unable to simplify it any further.

## 4. The logarithmic scale

Euler prefered the version

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\ln \frac{1}{u}\right)^{a-1} d u \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will write this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a)=\int_{0}^{1}(-\ln u)^{a-1} d u \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for better spacing. Many of the evaluations in [2] follow this form. Section 4.215 in [2] consists of four examples: the first one, 4.215.1 is (4.1) itself. The second one, labeled 4.215.2 and written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{(-\ln x)^{\mu}}=\frac{\pi}{\Gamma(\mu)} \operatorname{cosec} \mu \pi \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is evaluated as $\Gamma(1-\mu)$ by (4.1). The identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(1-\mu)=\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \mu} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields the given form. The reader will find in [1] a proof of this identity. The section concludes with the special values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{-\ln x} d x=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as 4.215.3 and 4.215.4:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{-\ln x}}=\sqrt{\pi} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both of them are special cases of (4.1).
The reader should check the evaluations 4.269.3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1} \sqrt{-\ln x} d x=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{p^{3}}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 4.269.4:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{p-1} d x}{\sqrt{-\ln x}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{p}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by reducing them to (2.1). Also 4.272.5, 4.272.6 and 4.272.7

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{1}^{\infty}(\ln x)^{p} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} & =\Gamma(1+p)  \tag{4.9}\\
\int_{0}^{1}(-\ln x)^{\mu-1} x^{\nu-1} d x & =\frac{1}{\nu^{\mu}} \Gamma(\mu) \\
\int_{0}^{1}(-\ln x)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} x^{\nu-1} d x & =\frac{(2 n-1)!!}{(2 \nu)^{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\nu}}
\end{align*}
$$

can be evaluated directly in terms of the gamma function.
Differentiating (4.1) with respect to $a$ yields 4.229 .4 in [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \ln (-\ln x)(-\ln x)^{a-1} d x=\Gamma^{\prime}(a)=\psi(a) \Gamma(a), \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\psi(a)$ defined in (2.18). The special case $a=1$ is 4.229.1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \ln (-\ln x) d x=-\gamma \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \ln (-\ln x) \frac{d x}{\sqrt{-\ln x}}=-(\gamma+2 \ln 2) \sqrt{\pi} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that appears as 4.229.3, is obtained by using the values $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi}$ and $\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=$ $-(\gamma+2 \ln 2)$.

The same type of arguments confirms 4.325.11

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \ln (-\ln x) \frac{x^{\mu-1} d x}{\sqrt{-\ln x}}=-(\gamma+\ln 4 \mu) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\mu}}, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 4.325.12:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \ln (-\ln x)(-\ln x)^{\mu-1} x^{\nu-1} d x=\frac{1}{\nu^{\mu}} \Gamma(\mu)[\psi(\mu)-\ln \nu] . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, when $\mu=1$ we obtain 4.325.8:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \ln (-\ln x) x^{\nu-1} d x=-\frac{1}{\nu}(\gamma+\ln \nu) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. The presence of fake parameters

There are many formulas in [2] that contain parameters. For example, 3.461.2 states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n} e^{-p x^{2}} d x=\frac{(2 n-1)!!}{2(2 p)^{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{p}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathbf{3 . 4 6 1 . 3}$ states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 n+1} e^{-p x^{2}} d x=\frac{n!}{2 p^{n+1}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change of variables $t=p x^{2}$ eliminates the fake parameter $p$ and reduces $\mathbf{3 . 4 6 1 . 2}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t} d t=\frac{(2 n-1)!!}{2^{n}} \sqrt{\pi} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 3.461 .3 to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n} e^{-t} d t=n! \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are now evaluated by identifying them with $\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\Gamma(n+1)$, respectively.
A second way to introduce fake parameters is to shift the integral (2.1) via $s=t+b$ to produce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{b}^{\infty}(s-b)^{a-1} e^{-s \mu} d s=\mu^{-a} e^{-\mu b} \Gamma(a) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This appears as $\mathbf{3 . 3 8 2 . 2}$ in [2].
There are many more integrals in [2] that can be reduced to the gamma function. These will be reported in a future publication.
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