

# PDE Problem Set 1

Due: Mon Feb 10

## Problem 1: Completeness of Hölder Spaces

- (a) Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . Show that the Hölder space  $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$  is a Banach space, where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Recall that  $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$  consists of continuous functions  $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|u\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})} = \|u\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} + \sup_{x,y \in \overline{\Omega}, x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

- (b) Consider the space  $C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . This space consists of functions  $u$  whose partial derivatives up to order  $k$  exist and are continuous, and the  $k$ -th order partial derivatives are Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ . Define a suitable norm on  $C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  and prove that it is a Banach space.
- (c) Is the inclusion map  $C^\beta(\overline{\Omega}) \hookrightarrow C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$  continuous when  $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ ? Is it compact?

*Hint:* For part (a), you may want to start by showing that if  $(u_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ , then it is also Cauchy in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$ . Use the completeness of  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  to find a candidate limit function  $u$ . Then show that  $u$  is indeed in  $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$  and that  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  in the Hölder norm. For part (c), consider using the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem.

## Problem 2: Arzela-Ascoli Theorem on $\mathbb{R}^n$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that:

- (i) (Pointwise Boundedness) For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the set  $\{f(x) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is bounded.
- (ii) (Equicontinuity) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ .
- (iii) (Uniform decay at infinity) For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $R > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $|f(x)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $|x| > R$ .

Prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is precompact in the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the uniform norm.

*Hint:* You can adapt the proof of the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem for functions on a compact set to this case. Consider a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . First, apply the standard Arzela-Ascoli Theorem to the restrictions of the functions to the closed ball  $\overline{B}(0, R)$  for increasing values of  $R$ . Use a diagonalization argument to extract a subsequence that converges uniformly on every closed ball. Finally, use the uniform decay condition (iii) to show that this subsequence converges uniformly on all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### Problem 3: Bounded Linear Operators and Dual Spaces

- (a) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces, and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a bounded linear operator. The adjoint operator  $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$  is defined by  $\langle T^*y^*, x \rangle = \langle y^*, Tx \rangle$  for all  $y^* \in Y^*$  and  $x \in X$ . Prove that  $\|T^*\| \leq \|T\|$ .
- (b) Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $q$  be its conjugate exponent, i.e.,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Show that the dual space of  $L^p(\Omega)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L^q(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Hint:* For part (a), use the definition of the adjoint operator. For part (b) you can use the Riesz Representation theorem for  $L^p$  spaces.

### Problem 4: Uniform Convexity and Weak Convergence

A Banach space  $X$  is said to be uniformly convex if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , if  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ , then  $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta$ .

- (a) Prove that every uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex. That is, if  $x \neq y$  and  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ , then for all  $0 < t < 1$ ,  $\|tx + (1-t)y\| < 1$ .
- (b) Show that  $L^p(\Omega)$  is uniformly convex for  $1 < p < \infty$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (c) Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space. Suppose  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$  that converges weakly to  $x \in X$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \|x\|$ . Show that  $(x_n)$  converges strongly to  $x$ .

*Hint:* For part (a), consider the contrapositive. For part (b), you can use Clarkson's inequalities (which you may assume without proof and are written below). For part (c), consider the sequence  $\left( \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} \right)$  if  $x \neq 0$ .

**Bonus:** Prove Clarkson's Inequalities: Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . Then for all  $u, v \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{u+v}{2} \right\|_p^p + \left\| \frac{u-v}{2} \right\|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|u\|_p^p + \|v\|_p^p).$$

Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Then for all  $u, v \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{u+v}{2} \right\|_p^q + \left\| \frac{u-v}{2} \right\|_p^q \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} (\|u\|_p^p + \|v\|_p^p) \right)^{q-1},$$

where  $q$  is the conjugate exponent of  $p$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Hint:* For the case  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , you can start by proving the inequality

$$\left| \frac{a+b}{2} \right|^p + \left| \frac{a-b}{2} \right|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (|a|^p + |b|^p)$$

for all real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ . This can be done using calculus (consider the function  $f(t) = |1+t|^p + |1-t|^p$  and show that it is maximized at  $t = 0$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ ). Then, integrate the pointwise inequality

$$\left| \frac{u(x)+v(x)}{2} \right|^p + \left| \frac{u(x)-v(x)}{2} \right|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (|u(x)|^p + |v(x)|^p)$$

over  $\Omega$ .

For the case  $1 < p \leq 2$ , you can use duality. First, prove the inequality for  $p = 2$ . Then, use the fact that the dual space of  $L^p$  is  $L^q$  and the result for  $2 \leq q < \infty$  to deduce the inequality for  $1 < p < 2$ .

**Problem 5: Riesz-Kolmogorov Compactness Criterion in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$**

Let  $\Omega = (0, 1)$  and consider the sequence of functions  $(f_n)$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{n}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

- (a) Show that the sequence  $(f_n)$  is bounded in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (b) Show that the sequence  $(f_n)$  is not relatively compact in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by directly considering the definition of relative compactness (i.e., by showing that there is no convergent subsequence).
- (c) Determine which of the conditions of the Riesz-Kolmogorov compactness criterion fails for the sequence  $(f_n)$ . Provide a detailed justification for your answer.